


**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****1. Analysis of Student's Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has obtained feedback on the R20 (Autonomous) curriculum from 144 students through a questionnaire that consists of 8 questions in different aspects. A collection of feedback has been done offline. The analysis of feedback forms is also done.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent %	Satisfactory %	Need improvement%
1	Does the curriculum enhance the student's technique knowledge/skills?	56.25	36.11	7.63
2	Does the curriculum is designed to enhance your employability?	40.97	44.44	14.58
3	The courses studied by you are relevant, and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals.	43.05	45.83	11.11
4	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	61.80	37.5	0.69
5	Modern teaching aids are used by the teachers while teaching.	45.13	48.61	6.25
6	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students	52.08	45.13	2.77
7	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	46.52	52.08	1.388
8	The Teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students.	51.38	42.36	6.25

- It shows that 49.64 % of students felt excellent, 44 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 6.33 % expected improvements on the R-20 Autonomous regulation.



Coordinator


HOD-EEE

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****2. Analysis of Teachers Feedback on Curriculum**

- The department faculties are teaching courses based on R20 Autonomous regulations. Feedback is obtained from them offline.
- The response obtained through feedback is critically analyzed and presented here.
- From the analysis, 28.57 % of teachers feel that the industry needs have been met through content beyond the syllabus.
- 7.14% and 14.28 % of teachers expected the course to be provided scope for internship /training/research and self-learning capability respectively.
- Though 49.99 % of teachers feel excellent and 37.65 % of teachers satisfied various components of the R20 Autonomous curriculum, 7.14 % demanded improvement regarding the balance between the theoretical and practical knowledge and opportunity for higher education/employability.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent %	Satisfactory %	Need improvement%
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based	57.14	35.71	7.14
2	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes	64.28	28.57	7.14
3	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	50	42.85	7.14
4	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning	42.85	42.85	14.28
5	Scope for internship/training/research	35.71	57.14	7.14
6	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	71.42	28.57	0
7	The adequacy of textbooks / reference books / on line material etc are available in the library	64.28	28.57	7.14
8	Any curriculum gaps identified	35.71	50	14.28
9	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus	35.71	35.71	28.57
10	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO	50	35.71	14.28
11	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement	42.85	28.57	21.42

➤ Overall, 49.99 % of teachers felt excellent, 37.65 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 11.68% expected improvements on the R20 Autonomous regulation.



*hcr*  
Coordinator

*W*  
HOD-EEE

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****3. Analysis of Alumni Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has received feedback on the curriculum based on R20 - Autonomous regulation.
- There are 24 alumni who participated in the feedback offline.
- Their valuable feedback has been analysed, and the report is presented here.
- Though the institution takes more initiative to bridge the industry and academia gap, 16.66 % of alumni seek a more significant number of activities regarding this.
- 25% of alumni input us to revise the curriculum from time to time, and 4.16% feel it is better to learn additional skills other than the syllabus.
- According to the response to the questionnaire, Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job / occupation? It is repaganized that a more significant number of multidisciplinary subjects to be included in the curriculum.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent %	Satisfactory %	Need improvement%
1	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job / occupation?	45.83	41.66	12.5
2	The depth and scope of curriculum	50	33.33	4
3	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	37.5	37.5	25
4	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	54.16	41.66	4.16
5	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry and academia.	41.66	41.66	16.66
6	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	50	29.16	20.83

➤ Overall, 47.59 % of alumni felt excellent, 44.99 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 16.63 % expected improvements on the R20 autonomous regulation.



  
Coordinator

  
HOD-EEE




**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEED BACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****4. Analysis of Parent Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has received feedback on the curriculum based on R20 Autonomous regulation with beloved parents of our students.
- Thirty parents have come forward to participate in this feedback.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent %	Satisfactory %	Need improvement%
1	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice?	53.33	33.33	13.33
2	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper / workshop participations and mini projects executions etc	33.33	50	16.66
3	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting (core / non-core) electives?	56.66	30	13.33
4	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course?	43.33	40	16.66
5	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and Start-up mentorship?	50	40	10
6	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	46.66	40	13.33
7	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, cast, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	60	36.66	3.33

➤ It is observed that 49.04 % of parents felt excellent, 38.57 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 12.37 % expected improvements on the R20 Autonomous regulation.



  
Coordinator

  
HOD-EEE

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****5. Analysis of Employer Feedback on Curriculum**


- The Department has obtained valuable feedback on the curriculum and our students' ability from the employer who has visited our campus for the pre-placement talk and recruitment process.
- The feedback is analysed, and the report is presented.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent %	Satisfactory %	Need improvement%
1	Describe level of knowledge to graduate in a position to be recruited	50	37.5	12.5
2	Willingness to work hard & contribute for development of organization	62.5	25	12.5
3	Ability to identify industrial needs using advanced tools to meet the technological constraints	37.5	50	12.5
4	Communication skills and healthy relations between the peers, seminar, subordinates & administration	37.5	37.5	25
5	Exhibiting inter personal relationship and trust to excel unsuccessfully completing their allocated projects	50	37.5	12.5
6	Interactive presence contribution at work shop, technical meet and project/report presentation	50	25	25
7	How far is he/she aware of social responsibilities and events related to college	37.5	37.5	25

- In general, 46.42 % of employers felt excellent, 35.71 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 17.85 % expected improvements on the R20 Autonomous regulation.



  
Coordinator

  
HOD-EEE

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING****ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON CURRICULUM FEEDBACK****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24**

The Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences obtains feedback on curriculum based on R20 Autonomous regulation from the Students, Teachers, Alumni, Parents, and Employers. The department curriculum development committee deeply analyses the responses and suggestions, and the following resolutions were derived for implementing the same in the new autonomous curriculum.

The actions taken are:

1. It is decided to streamline the repetition of contents in the successive courses to increase the relevancy. At the same time to minimize the curricular gap.
2. It is decided to include basic level computer science and engineering subjects in the curriculum.
3. It is decided to include value added skill-based course, and provision for internship/ field project in the curriculum.
4. It is decided to implement more no of interdisciplinary open elective and elective courses in the revised curriculum.
5. Inter-disciplinary final year projects and research initiatives were encouraged.
6. It is decided to provide communication skills training to the students along with regular curriculum.
7. It is decided to implement case study assignments that were given to the students which intern to help them to acquire problem-solving skills and projects.

  
HOD-EEE



**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering**

**STUDENT FEEDBACK**

Department: <i>EEE</i>	Academic Year: <i>2023-24</i>
Course Name/code/Regulation: <i>R-20</i>	Year/Semester: <i>VV-1</i>
Name of Student: <i>M. Venkata lakshmi</i>	Reg no: <i>20JRIA0212</i>

**Rating Scale:**

<b>Excellent(3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory(2)</b>	<b>Need Improvement(1)</b>
---------------------	------------------------	----------------------------

S.NO	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the curriculum enhancing the student technique knowledge/skills?	<i>3</i>
2.	Does the curriculum is designed to enhance your employability?	<i>3</i>
3.	The courses studied by you are relevant and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals?	<i>2</i>
4.	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	<i>3</i>
5.	Modern teaching aids are used by teachers while teaching?	<i>3</i>
6.	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students?	<i>3</i>
7.	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses?	<i>3</i>
8.	Do teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students?	<i>3</i>

**Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments. if any)**

*Please add PLC & SCADA topics in Electrical Distribution Systems Subject.*

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering**

**STUDENT FEEDBACK**

Department: <u>EEE</u>	Academic Year: <u>2023-2024</u>
Course Name/code/Regulation: <u>R20</u>	Year/Semester: <u>Final (IV-1)</u>
Name of Student: <u>A. Sai Soaveni</u>	Reg no: <u>20JRIA0201</u>

**Rating Scale:**

<b>Excellent(3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory(2)</b>	<b>Need Improvement(1)</b>
---------------------	------------------------	----------------------------

S.NO	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the curriculum enhancing the student technique knowledge/skills?	3
2.	Does the curriculum is designed to enhance your employability?	3
3.	The courses studied by you are relevant and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals?	3
4.	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	2
5.	Modern teaching aids are used by teachers while teaching?	3
6.	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students?	3
7.	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses?	2
8.	Do teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students?	3

**Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments. if any)**

Please include electrical vehicle courses

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering****STUDENT FEEDBACK**

Department: <u>EEF</u>	Academic Year: <u>2023-2024</u>
Course Name/code/Regulation: <u>R20</u>	Year/Semester: <u>4-1</u>
Name of Student: <u>Konda Balaji</u>	Reg no: <u>20JR1A0236</u>

**Rating Scale:**

<b>Excellent(3)</b>	<b>Satisfactory(2)</b>	<b>Need Improvement(1)</b>
---------------------	------------------------	----------------------------

S.NO	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the curriculum enhancing the student technique knowledge/skills?	3
2.	Does the curriculum is designed to enhance your employability?	2
3.	The courses studied by you are relevant and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals?	3
4.	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	2
5.	Modern teaching aids are used by teachers while teaching?	3
6.	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students?	2
7.	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses?	3
8.	Do teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students?	2

**Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments. if any)**

Please include internet of things (IOT) lab - hardware related experiments

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering

TEACHER FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2023-24

Regulation: R20

Year / Branch / SEM: III / EEE / I

Name of Teacher: T. NAGARAJU

Name of the Subject Taught: ELECTRIC & ELECTRONIC MEASUREMENTS (E&EM)

Rating Scale: 3


Excellent (3)	Satisfactory(2)	Need Improvement (1)
---------------	-----------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Score
1.	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based.	2
2.	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes.	2
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	3
4.	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning.	2
5.	Scope for internship/training/research.	2
6.	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	2
7.	The adequacy of textbooks/ reference books/ online material etc. are available in the library.	3
8.	Any curriculum gaps identified.	3
9.	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus.	2
10.	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO.	1
11.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	3

Any Valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

ADD Energy meter & LVDT

Date: 10/1/24

  
Signature of staff member.

Department of Electrical & Electronics EngineeringTEACHER FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2023-24

Regulation: R20

Year / Branch / SEM: II / EEE / I

Name of Teacher: V. Prudhvi Raju

Name of the Subject Taught: Electrical circuits &amp; Synthesis

Rating Scale:

Excellent (3)	Satisfactory(2)	Need Improvement (1)
---------------	-----------------	----------------------


S. No.	Parameter Description	Score
1.	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based.	2
2.	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes.	2
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	3
4.	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning.	3
5.	Scope for internship/training/research.	2
6.	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	3
7.	The adequacy of textbooks/ reference books/ online material etc. are available in the library.	3
8.	Any curriculum gaps identified.	3
9.	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus.	3
10.	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO.	3
11.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	3

Any Valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Need to be add filters & its design in next Regulation syllabus.

Date: 9/1/24

Signature of staff member





Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering

TEACHER FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2023-24

Regulation: R20

Year / Branch / SEM: IV / EEE / I

Name of Teacher: M. Raja Nayak

Name of the Subject Taught: FACTS [Flexible AC Transmission System]

Rating Scale:

Excellent (3)	Satisfactory(2)	Need Improvement (1)
---------------	-----------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Score
1.	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based.	2
2.	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes.	3
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	2
4.	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning.	3
5.	Scope for internship/training/research.	3
6.	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	3
7.	The adequacy of textbooks/ reference books/ online material etc. are available in the library.	3
8.	Any curriculum gaps identified.	2
9.	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus.	2
10.	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO.	2
11.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	2

Any Valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

1. Add practical knowledge based workshops
2. Control Strategies should implement in next Regulation.
3. Power Quality topics should include in syllabus

Date: 9/1/24

(M. Raja Nayak)  
Signature of staff member

Department of Electrical & Electronics EngineeringPARENTS FEED BACK

Name of the Parent: M. Naga Vardhani

Education: Graduate / Post Graduate / Any other

Occupation: Cultivation / Business / Employee (Public Sector OR Private Sector) / Any Other:

Relationship of Parent with Student: Father / Mother / Other:

Academic year: 2023 - 24

Phone No: 918 2074374

Please rate in the following scale:

Excellent(3)

Satisfactory(2)

Need Improvement(1)

S.NO.	Parameter Description	Your rating
1.	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice	3
2.	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper/workshop participations and mini projects executions etc.	3
3.	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting ( core / non-core) Electives?	3
4.	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course	3
5.	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and startup mentorship?	2
6.	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	2
7.	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, caste, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	3

Any additional course that you suggest to the curriculum for your ward \_\_\_\_\_

Any valuable suggestions:

Artificial Intelligence Courses.

M. Naga Vardhani  
Signature of the Parent

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering**

**PARENTS FEED BACK**

Name of the Parent: *A. Ramesh*

Education: Graduate / Post Graduate / Any other

Occupation: Cultivation / Business / Employee (Public Sector OR Private Sector) / Any Other:

Relationship of Parent with Student: Father / Mother / Other:

Academic year: *2023-24*

Phone No: *998 9158733*

Please rate in the following scale:

Excellent(3)

Satisfactory(2)

Need Improvement(1)

S.NO.	Parameter Description	Your rating
1.	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice	3
2.	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper/workshop participations and mini projects executions etc.	2
3.	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting ( core / non-core) Electives?	2
4.	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course	3
5.	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and startup mentorship?	2
6.	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	2
7.	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, caste, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	2

Any additional course that you suggest to the curriculum for your ward \_\_\_\_\_

Any valuable suggestions:

*Encourage to attend for Internships*

*A. Ramesh*  
Signature of the Parent

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering****PARENTS FEED BACK**Name of the Parent: *B. Sireesha*

Education: Graduate / Post Graduate / Any other

Occupation: Cultivation / Business / Employee (Public Sector OR Private Sector) / Any Other: ✓

Relationship of Parent with Student: Father/ Mother / Other: ✓

Academic year: *2023-24*Phone No: *9948948431*

Please rate in the following scale:

Excellent(3)

Satisfactory(2)

Need Improvement(1)

S.NO.	Parameter Description	Your rating
1.	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice	3
2.	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper/workshop participations and mini projects executions etc.	2
3.	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting ( core / non-core) Electives?	2
4.	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course	2
5.	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and startup mentorship?	2
6.	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	2
7.	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, caste, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	2

Any additional course that you suggest to the curriculum for your ward \_\_\_\_\_

Any valuable suggestions:

*Increase CSE Related Courses in  
curriculum.*

*B. Sireesha*  
Signature of the Parent

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering****ALUMNI FEEDBACK**Name of the Alumni: G. Narayana Sai GaneshA. Year: 2023-24Graduation level: Under GraduateYear of Passing: 2022Current Employment: BYTEXLDesignation: Technical TrainerName & Address of the company / Institute: HyderabadMobile no: 9100230572Email ID: gobburisaiganesh@gmail.com

Rating scale:

Excellent (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need improvement (1)
---------------	------------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job/ occupation?	2
2.	The depth and scope of curriculum	3
3.	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	2
4.	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	5
5.	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry & academia.	2
6.	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	3

Any valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Include Java , Python is useful in present Market .Provide more training on Coding and Logical Thinking .Date: 01/02/24Sai Ganesh  
Signature of Alumni



**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering****ALUMNI FEEDBACK**

Name of the Alumni: V. satya pramila

A. Year: 2023-2024

Graduation level: Under graduate.

Year of Passing:

Current Employment: HCL Tech.

Designation: Graduate engg Trainee

Name &amp; Address of the company / Institute: Chennai

Mobile no: 7989648217

Email ID: vanapalilisatya pramila456@gmail.com

Rating scale:

Excellent (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need improvement (1)
---------------	------------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job/ occupation?	2
2.	The depth and scope of curriculum	3
3.	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	3
4.	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	2
5.	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry & academia.	3
6.	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	3

Any valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Provide more training in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning.

Date: 11-02-24

V. pramila  
Signature of Alumni

**Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering****ALUMNI FEEDBACK**

Name of the Alumni: G. Chandana Priya

A. Year: 2023-2024

Graduation level: B. Tech

Year of Passing: 2023

Current Employment: SLAP

Designation: Trainee Engineer

Name &amp; Address of the company / Institute: Penagonda,

Mobile no: 8125160720

Email ID: chandanapriya.1604@gmail.com - Anantapur

Rating scale: 3

Excellent (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need improvement (1)
---------------	------------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job/ occupation?	3
2.	The depth and scope of curriculum	3
3.	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	3
4.	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	3
5.	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry & academia.	3
6.	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	3

Any valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Giving the students more about the government job opportunities and getting trained from college? graduation itself.

Date: 4/3/2024

G. Chandana Priya  
Signature of Alumni

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

### CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24


#### 1. Analysis of Student's Feedback on Curriculum

- The Department has obtained feedback on the JNTU R20 (Autonomous) curriculum from students through a questionnaire that consists of 8 questions in different aspects. A collection of feedback has been done offline. The analysis of feedback forms is also done.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Need Improvement
1	Does the curriculum enhance the student's technical knowledge/skills?	85	12	3
2	Does the curriculum is designed to enhance your employability?	80	15	5
3	The courses studied by you are relevant, and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals.	82	12	6
4	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	88	10	2
5	Modern teaching aids are used by the teachers while teaching.	92	5	3
6	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students	83	10	7
7	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	86	11	3
8	The Teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students.	81	15	4

- It shows that 85% of students felt excellent, 11% were satisfied with the curriculum, and 4% expected improvements on the JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation.

### Student Feedback



■ Excellent ■ Satisfactory ■ Need Improvement

A. Suvitha  
 Coordinator

R. Ravi  
 HOD-CSE

Dept. of CSE  
 KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences  
 Vinjanampadu, GUNTUR-522 017.




**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****2. Analysis of Teachers Feedback on Curriculum**

- The department faculties on teaching courses based on R20 Autonomous regulations. Feedback is obtained from them online.
- The response obtained through feedback is analyzed and shown here.
- From the analysis, 39% of teachers feel that the industry needs have been met through content beyond the syllabus.
- 23% of teachers expected the course to be provided scope for internship /training/research and self-learning capability.
- Though 49% of teachers feel excellent and 39% of teachers satisfied various components of the R20 Autonomous curriculum, 15% demanded improvement regarding the balance between the theoretical and practical knowledge and opportunity for higher education/employability.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Need improvement
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based	83	10	7
2	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes	88	10	2
3	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	85	12	3
4	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning	80	15	5
5	Scope for internship/training/research	82	12	6
6	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	77	15	8
7	The adequacy of textbooks / reference books / on line material etc are available in the library	92	8	4
8	Any curriculum gaps identified	81	11	8
9	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus	86	11	3
10	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO	81	15	4
11	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement	82	12	6

- Overall, 83% of teachers felt excellent, 12 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 5% expected improvements on the JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation.

## Teachers Feedback



- Excellent
- Satisfactory
- Need improvement

*A. Sunita*  
Coordinator

*R. Ramy*  
HOD-CSE

HOD  
Dept. of CSE  
KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences  
Vinjanampadu, GUNTUR-522 017.




**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****3. Analysis of Alumni Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has received feedback on the curriculum based on JNTU R20 - Autonomous regulation.
- There are twenty-five alumni who participated in the feedback online.
- Their valuable feedback has been analyzed, and the report is presented here.
- Though the institution takes more initiative to bridge the industry and academia gap, 32% of alumni seek a more significant number of activities regarding this.
- 36% of alumni input us to revise the curriculum from time to time, and 24% feel it is better to learn additional technologies other than the syllabus.
- According to the response to the questionnaire, Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job / occupation? It is recognized that a more significant number of multidisciplinary subjects to be included in the curriculum.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Need improvement
1	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job / occupation?	83	10	7
2	The depth and scope of curriculum	81	17	2
3	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	79	18	3
4	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	80	15	5
5	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry and academia.	80	14	6
6	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	77	15	8

- Overall, 78% of alumni felt excellent, 15% were satisfied with the curriculum, and 7% expected improvements on the JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation.



● Examine    ✎ Write the text    — Sign/Impressure

*A. Sankaran*  
Coordinator

*R. Ravi*  
HOD-CSE  
HOD  
Dir. of CSE  
JNTU Institute of Technology and Sciences  
Vijayanagara, Guntur-522 017.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****4. Analysis of Parent Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has received feedback on the curriculum based on JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation with beloved parents of our students.
- Sixty parents have come forward to participate in this feedback.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Need improvement
1	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice?	75	19	6
2	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper / workshop participation's and mini projects executions etc	77	18	5
3	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting (core / non-core) electives?	81	12	7
4	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course?	79	17	4
5	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and Start-up mentorship?	78	16	6
6	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	72	20	8
7	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, cast, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	80	11	9

- It is observed that 78 % of parents felt excellent, 15 % were satisfied with the curriculum, and 7% expected improvements on the JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation.

# Parent Feedback



4 Excellent 100% 100% 100%

*A. Smith*  
Coordinator

*R. Rowley*  
HOD-CSE


HOD  
Div. of CSE  
1001 E. 1st St. Room 1100  
Wilmington, NC 28402-2111

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING****CURRICULUM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24****5. Analysis of Employer Feedback on Curriculum**

- The Department has obtained valuable feedback on the curriculum and our student's ability from the employer who has visited our campus for the pre-placement talk and recruitment process.
- The feedback is analyzed, and the report is presented.

S. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Need improvement
1	Describe level of knowledge to graduate in a position to be recruited	75	17	8
2	Willingness to work hard & contribute for development of organization	70	20	10
3	Ability to identify industrial needs using advanced tools to meet the technological constraints	69	19	12
4	Communication skills and healthy relations between the peers, seminar, subordinates & administration	73	18	9
5	Exhibiting inter personal relationship and trust to excel unsuccessfully completing their allocated projects	71	22	7
6	Interactive presence contribution at work shop, technical meet and project/report presentation	81	16	3
7	How far is he/she aware of social responsibilities and events related to college	72	22	6

- In general, 73 % of employers felt excellent, 19% were satisfied with the curriculum, and 8% expected improvements on the JNTU R20 Autonomous regulation.

**Employers Feedback**

- Excellent
- Satisfactory
- Need improvement

Coordinator

*P. Dany*  
HOD-CSEDept. of CSE  
KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences  
Vinjanampadu, GUNTUR-522 017.



**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING****ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON CURRICULUM FEEDBACK****ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023-24**

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering, KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences obtains feedback on curriculum based on JNTU. R20 Autonomous regulation from the Students, Teachers, Alumni, Parents, and Employers. The department curriculum development committee deeply analyses the responses and suggestions, and the following resolutions were derived for implementing the same in the new autonomous curriculum.

The actions taken are:

1. It is decided to streamline the repetition of contents in the successive courses to increase the relevancy. At the same time to minimize the curricular gap.
2. It is decided to include value added skill-based course, and provision for internship/ field project in the curriculum and to include NPTEL courses in every semester.
3. It is decided to implement more no of interdisciplinary open elective and elective courses in the revised curriculum.
4. Inter-disciplinary final year projects and research initiatives were encouraged.
5. It is decided to provide communication skills training to the students along with regular curriculum.
6. It is decided to implement case study assignments that were given to the students which intern to help them to acquire problem-solving skills and technical projects.
7. It is decided to include skill oriented courses in every year.

*D. Ravi*  
HOD-CSE

**HOD**  
**Dept. of CSE**  
KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Science  
Vinjanampadu, GUNTUR-522 017.

**STUDENT FEEDBACK**

Department: CSE	Academic Year: 2023 - 2024
Course Name/code/Regulation: R20	Year/Semester: II - II
Name of Student: T. Hemanth Babu	Reg no: 21TR1A0523

Rating Scale:

Good(3)	Average(2)	Need Improvement(1)
---------	------------	---------------------

S.NO	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the curriculum enhance the student's technique knowledge / skills?	3
2.	Is the curriculum designed to enhance your employability?	3
3.	The courses studied by you are relevant and the contents are revised at reasonable intervals?	3
4.	Does the entire syllabus is completed in time?	3
5.	Modern teaching aids are used by teachers while teaching?	3
6.	Teachers give regular and timely feedback on the performance of the students?	3
7.	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self learning considering the design of the courses?	3
8.	Do teachers guide the students in the development of overall personality development of the students?	3

Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments., if any)

---

---

---

**Department Of Computer Science and Engineering**

AY-2023-2024

**ALUMNI FEEDBACK**

Name of the Alumni: P. Yashwanth Sai

Graduation level: Btech

Year of Passing: 2023

Current Employment: HCL, Bangalore

Designation: Software Developer

Name &amp; Address of the company / Institute:

Mobile no: 8555929493

Email ID: yaswanth.sai.kotagiri@gmail.com


Rating scale:

Good (3)	Average (2)	Need improvement (1)
----------	-------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Rating
1.	Does the course you learnt in the college is in relation to your current job/ occupation?	Yes
2.	The depth and scope of curriculum	3
3.	Time-to-time updating in curriculum	2
4.	Any new skills learnt in the due course of your study (Other than syllabus)	Yes
5.	Rate the academic initiatives taken by the college to bridge the gap between industry & academia.	2
6.	Any motivation created by the syllabus to higher education in the Particular topic?	Yes

Any valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Date: April 19, 2024

  
Signature of Alumni

## TEACHER FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2023-24

Regulation: R20

Year / Branch / SEM: II / CSE / I

Name of Teacher: Dr. A. Suneetha

Name of the Subject Taught: Computer Organization & Architecture

Rating Scale:

Good (3)	Average (2)	Need Improvement (1)
----------	-------------	----------------------

S. No.	Parameter Description	Score
1.	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based.	2
2.	The contents are in conformity with the learning outcomes.	2
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	2
4.	Scope in developing the habit of self-learning.	2
5.	Scope for internship/training/research.	2
6.	The curriculum provides opportunity for higher education/employability.	2
7.	The adequacy of textbooks/ reference books/ online material etc. are available in the library.	3
8.	Any curriculum gaps identified.	NO
9.	No. of topics handled beyond syllabus.	NO
10.	Curriculum compliance towards attaining CO/PO.	YES
11.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	NO

Any Valuable suggestion for improving the curriculum in few words:

Date: 16/4/24

*A. Suneetha*  
Signature of staff member



**PARENTS FEEDBACK**

Name of the Parent: D. SRINIVASA RAO

Education: Graduate / Post Graduate / Any other ✓

Occupation: Cultivation / Business ✓ / Employee (Public Sector OR Private Sector) / Any Other:

Relationship of Parent with Student: Father ✓ / Mother / Other:

Academic year: 2023 - 24

Phone No: 9951500313

Please rate in the following scale:

Excellent(A) ✓

Satisfactory(B)

Need Improvement(C)

S.NO.	Parameter Description	Your rating
1.	How do you rate the curriculum has a good balance between theory & practice	B
2.	How do you rate your ward getting excel in seminar/technical paper/workshop participations and mini projects executions etc.	B
3.	How do you rate the academic flexibility in selecting ( core / non-core) Electives?	A
4.	Does the outcomes of your ward has achieved from the course	A
5.	Does the curriculum promote Entrepreneurship and startup mentorship?	B
6.	Does the curriculum have made your wards to industry ready?	B
7.	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student (such as gender, caste, community, poor or rich etc) in teaching and evaluation?	A

Any additional course that you suggest to the curriculum for your ward \_\_\_\_\_

Any valuable suggestions:

D. Srinivasa Rao  
Signature of the Parent





**KKR&KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences  
(Autonomous)**

**Vinjanampadu, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522017**

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Permanent Affiliation from JNTUK, Kakinada  
Accredited with "A" Grade by NAAC & NBA Accreditation Status for 5 UG (CSE, ECE, EEE, ME,CE) Programs

**EMPLOYER'S FEEDBACK FORM**

Academic year:2023-24

Date: March 16, 2024

1. Emoloyer Profile

- Name of the Employer : *Angaluri. Chaitanya.*
- Job profile/designation : *IT-Analyst*
- Industry/organization : *TCS*
- Industry Experience : *10*
- Email.Id : *chaitanya.angaluri@gmail.com,*
- Mobile No. : *98860797997*
- Would you like to be a member of Board of Studies (BOS) of this college? (Yes/No)  Yes  No

2. Opinion about the existing curriculum based syllabus

5.Excellent <input type="checkbox"/>	4.Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.Good <input type="checkbox"/>	2.Satisfactory <input type="checkbox"/>	1.Poor <input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

3. Whether existing curriculum meets the modern technologies available in the Industries/Organization

5.Excellent <input type="checkbox"/>	4.Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.Good <input type="checkbox"/>	2.Satisfactory <input type="checkbox"/>	1.Poor <input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

4. Are you Willing to visit KITS for Academic Interactions? (Yes/No)  Yes  No

5. Suggestions to improve curriculum related to current industry/organization:

---

---

6. Any Other suggestions:

---

---

*Achai*  
SIGNATURE